arXiv:math/0411164v3 [math.OA] 14 Feb 2006

A RELATIVE VERSION OF CONNES‘ $\chi(M)$ INVARIANT AND EXISTENCE OF ORBIT INEQUIVALENT ACTIONS

by

ADRIAN IOANA

Abstract. We consider a new orbit equivalence invariant for measure-preserving actions of groups on the probability space, $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$, denoted $\chi_0(\sigma;G)$ and defined as the "intersection" of the 1-cohomology group, $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma,G)$, with Connes' invariant, $\chi(M)$, of the cross product von Neumann algebra, $M = L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$. We calculate $\chi_0(\sigma;G)$ for certain actions of groups of the form $G = H \times K$ with H non-amenable and K infinite amenable and we deduce that any such group has uncountably many orbit inequivalent actions.

0. Introduction.

Recall that two measure preserving (m.p.) actions σ_1,σ_2 of two countable, discrete groups G_1,G_2, on standard probability measure spaces $(X_1,\mu_1),(X_2,\mu_2)$, are said to be *orbit equivalent* (OE) if there is an isomorphism $f:(X_1,\mu_1)\to(X_2,\mu_2)$ such that $f(G_1x)=G_2(f(x))$ for μ_1 a.e. $x\in X_1$, or, equivalently, if the induced equivalence relations, $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_1,G_1},\mathcal{R}_{\sigma_2,G_2}$ are isomorphic.

Strikingly, it was proven that any action σ of any amenable group G induces a hyperfinite equivalence relation (unique, up to isomorphism), thus implying that any two actions of any two amenable groups are OE. This result was first obtained by Dye([Dy]) in the case $G=\mathbb{Z}$ and by Ornstein-Weiss([OW],[CFW]) in general. The converse is also true: any non-amenable group has at least 2 non-OE actions([CW],[Sc1,2],[Hj]). Thus, it is natural to consider the problem of finding groups which admit many non OE actions. In this direction, rigidity phenomena were used to exhibit classes of groups possesing uncountably many non-OE actions: property(T) groups([Hj]), products of hyperbolic groups([MoSh]), free groups([GaPo]) and weakly rigid groups([Po]).

In this paper, we introduce a relative version of Connes‘ $\chi(M)$ invariant ([Co1]) for Cartan subalgebra inclusions $A\subset M$, denoted $\chi_0(M;A)$, which captures the "approximately A-inner, centrally trivial" outer automorphisms of M. The χ_0 invariant of a free, ergodic, m.p. action $\sigma:G\to\mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$ is then defined as the χ_0 invariant of the corresponding Cartan subalgebra inclusion, i.e. $\chi_0(\sigma;G)=\chi_0(L^\infty(X,\mu)\rtimes_\sigma G;L^\infty(X,\mu))$. Note that $\chi_0(\sigma;G)$ is is an *orbit equivalence* invariant([FM]) and that it is a subgroup of the 1-cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma;G)$.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Theorem. *Let* $G = H \times K$*, where* H *is a non-amenable group and* K *is an* ∞ *amenable group and let* $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \Delta_i$*, where* Δ_i *are finite groups. For a standard probability space* (X_0, μ_0)*, let* σ *be the generalized Bernoulli shift action of* G *on* $(X, \mu) = \prod_{g\in H\sqcup K}(X_0, \mu_0)$*, given by the natural action of* G *on the set* $H \sqcup K$*. Let* β *be a free, m.p. action of* Γ *on* (X_0, μ_0) *and define* $\tilde{\beta}_\gamma = \otimes_{g\in H\sqcup K}(\beta_\gamma)_g, \forall\gamma \in \Gamma$ *to be the induced action on* (X, μ)*.*

If σ^Γ *denotes the restriction of* σ *to the fixed point algebra* $\{a \in L^\infty(X, \mu)|\tilde{\beta}_\gamma(a) = a, \forall\gamma \in \Gamma\}$*, then* σ^Γ *is a free, ergodic, m.p. action such that* $\chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G) = Char(\Gamma)$*.*

Since for every set $\mathcal{P}$ of prime numbers, we have that $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathrm{Char}(\bigoplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we deduce the following:

Corollary. *Any group of the form* $G = H \times K$*, where* H *is a non-amenable group and* K *is an* ∞ *amenable group, admits uncountably many non orbit equivalent actions.*

In Section 1 we give the definition of $\chi_0(\sigma, G)$ for an action (σ, G) and we notice that it is a *stable orbit equivalence* invariant. Starting with Section 2, we concentrate on the actions $(\sigma^\Gamma, G = H \times K)$ as in the above Theorem and we give a first estimate of χ_0. This reduces the calculation of χ_0 to a concrete problem in terms of automorphisms of the hyperfinite II_1 factor, R. In Section 3 we deal with this problem, showing that for certain actions of groups Γ as above on the hyperfinite II_1 factor R, the following holds true: $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ acts trivially on the sequences from R^Γ which are central in R iff $\theta \in \overline{\Gamma}$ (modulo inner automorphisms). The final section is concerned with the proof of the above Theorem.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Professor Sorin Popa for proposing the original idea from which this work developed. I greatly benefitted from many discussions with him and I am grateful for his constant guidance and encouragement.

1.Definition of χ_0.

In [Co1], A. Connes defined an invariant $\chi(M)$ for a II_1 factor M and used computations of it to provide a II_1 factor non-antisomorphic to itself. The purpose of this section is to define an analogous invariant for inclusions $(A \subset M)$ of Cartan subalgebras into II_1 factors. Restricting to such inclusions that arise from measure preserving actions of countable, discrete groups on standard probability spaces we obtain an OE invariant, which we subsequently use to produce non-OE actions.

1.1. Groups of automorphisms. We begin by reviewing several definitions and notations. Given a II_1 factor M we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ its group of automorphisms endowed with the topology given by the pointwise norm $\|.\|_2$ convergence(see [Co1,Co2]) and by $\mathrm{Int}(M)$ the subgroup of inner automorphisms of M. A bounded sequence $(x_n)_n \subset M$ is called *central* if for any element $y \in M$ we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|[x_n, y]\|_2 = 0$. Following

Connes, an automorphism θ of M is called *centrally trivial* if for any central sequence $(x_n)_n \subset M$ we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\theta(x_n) - x_n\|_2 = 0$. The group of centrally trivial automorphisms of M is denoted $\mathrm{Ct}(M)$([Co1,Co2]). Similarly, for an inclusion of von Neumann algebras $N \subset M$, we denote by $\mathrm{Ct}(M, N)$ the group of automorphisms of M that act trivially on the bounded sequences of N which are central in M([Ka]).

A powerful tool in the study of central sequences is the *ultrapower* algebra M^ω defined as the quotient $l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, M)/\mathcal{I}_\omega$, where $\mathcal{I}_\omega = \{x = (x_n)_n \in l^\infty(\mathbb{N}; M) | \lim_{n\to\omega} ||x_n||_2 = 0\}$ and ω is a *free ultrafilter* on $\mathbb{N}$. Any $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ induces an automorphism θ^ω of M^ω given by $\theta^\omega((x_n)) = (\theta(x_n))_n$ and we have that $\mathrm{Ct}(M) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}|\theta^\omega(x) = x, \forall x \in M' \cap M^\omega\}$ and similarly that $\mathrm{Ct}(M, N) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)|\theta^\omega(x) = x, \forall x \in M' \cap N^\omega\}$.

1.2. Automorphisms coming from 1-cocycles. For a II_1 factor M with a Cartan subalgebra A we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M; A)$ the group of automorphisms of M which leave A pointwise fixed and by $\mathrm{Int}_0(M; A)$ the subgroup of inner automorphisms implemented by the unitaries of A. Also, we consider the quotient group $\mathrm{Out}_0(M; A) := \mathrm{Aut}_0(M; A)/\mathrm{Int}_0(M; A)$ together with the quotient map $\varepsilon_0 : \mathrm{Aut}_0(M; A) \to \mathrm{Out}_0(M; A)$.

Let now $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$ be a free, ergodic, m.p. action on a standard probability space. Then σ defines an integral preserving action of G on the diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(X, \mu)$; conversely, every integral preserving action on $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ gives rise to a m.p. action on (X, μ). Therefore, we can unambiguously identify the two actions.

Further, consider the associated group measure space factor $M = L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes_\sigma G$ together with its Cartan subalgebra $A = L^\infty(X, \mu) \subset M$([MvN]). Then we have a canonical isomorphism from $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M; A)$ onto the group of 1-cocycles, $\mathrm{Z}^1(\sigma, G) = \{w : G \to \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(X, \mu))|w_{gh} = w_g\sigma_g(w_h), \forall g, h \in G\}$, which carries $\mathrm{Int}_0(M; A)$ onto the subgroup of 1-coboundaries, $\mathrm{B}^1(\sigma, G)$(see [Si],[Po]). From this it follows that $\mathrm{Out}_0(M; A)$ is isomorphic to the 1-cohomology group of σ, $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma, G) := \mathrm{Z}^1(\sigma, G)/ \mathrm{B}^1(\sigma, G)$. We will also use the notations $\mathrm{Int}_0(\sigma; G)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_0(\sigma; G)$ for the groups of automorphisms $\mathrm{Int}_0(A \rtimes_\sigma G; A)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_0(A \rtimes_\sigma G; A)$, respectively.

Recall that by [FM], two free, m.p. actions $\sigma_i : G_i \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_i)$ are *orbit equivalent* iff $(A_1 \subset A_1 \rtimes_{\sigma_1} G_1) \simeq (A_2 \subset A_2 \rtimes_{\sigma_2} G_2)$, i.e. if there exists an isomorphism $\theta : A_1 \rtimes_{\sigma_1} G_1 \to A_2 \rtimes_{\sigma_2} G_2$ such that $\theta(A_1) = A_2$. Thus, any invariant of Cartan subalgebra inclusions naturally gives rise to an OE invariant for actions. In particular, this entails that $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma, G)$ is an OE invariant.

For strongly ergodic actions σ, i.e. actions that have only trivial asymptotically invariant sequences, $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma; G)$ is a Polish group ([Sc1]), which is countable, discrete whenever G is weakly rigid([Sc1],[Po]). As shown by S.Popa([Po]), for w-rigid groups G and for actions σ having good deformation properties, the 1-cohomology group, $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma; G)$ is calculable and can be any countable abelian group. Thus, in the case of w-rigid groups, computations of $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma; G)$ can be used to provide uncountably many non-OE actions.

At the other end, if the action is not strongly ergodic (equivalently, if $\mathrm{Int}_0(M;A)$ is not closed in the topology inherited from $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$) then this invariant is a large, non-Polish group which can thus not be used to distinguish between orbit inequivalent actions. Instead, the following subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}_0(M;A) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\sigma, G)$ can be used:

1.3. Definition. Let M be a separable II_1 factor with a Cartan subalgebra A. We define $\chi_0(M;A) = \overline{\mathrm{Int}}_0(M;A) \cap \mathrm{Ct}M/\mathrm{Int}_0(M;A)$.

In particular, if $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X,\mu)$ is a free ergodic m.p. action on a standard probability space then $\chi_0(\sigma, G) := \chi_0(L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes_\sigma G; L^\infty(X,\mu))$ defines an orbit equivalence invariant. This invariant is a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma, G) \simeq \mathrm{Out}_0(L^\infty(X,\mu) \rtimes_\sigma G; L^\infty(X,\mu))$, which is trivial if G is amenable([OW]) and also, by definition, if σ is strongly ergodic.

Now, recall the following result from [FM]: two free, ergodic, m.p. actions $\sigma_i : G_i \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_i)$ are *stably orbit equivalent* iff there exist two projections $p_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $(A_1 p_1 \subset p_1(A_1 \rtimes_{\sigma_1} G_1)p_1) \simeq (A_2 p_2 \subset p_2(A_2 \rtimes_{\sigma_2} G_2)p_2)$.

1.4. Proposition. *Let M be a separable II_1 factor with a Cartan subalgebra A. Then for any projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, the map $\theta \in Aut_0(M;A) \to \theta_{|pMp} \in Aut_0(pMp;Ap)$ induces an isomorphism between the groups $\chi_0(M;A)$ and $\chi_0(pMp;Ap)$. In particular, $\chi_0(\sigma;G)$ is a stable orbit equivalence invariant.*

Proof. Recall from [Po] that the restriction map $\theta \to \theta_{|pMp}$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Out}_0(M;A)$ and $\mathrm{Out}_0(pMp;Ap)$, which carries $\varepsilon_0(\overline{\mathrm{Int}}_0(M;A))$ onto $\varepsilon_0(\overline{\mathrm{Int}}_0(pMp;Ap))$. Lastly, recall that if θ is an automorphism of a II_1 factor M which fixes a projection $p \in M$, then $\theta \in \mathrm{Ct}(M)$ iff $\theta_{|pMp} \in \mathrm{Ct}(pMp)$([Co1]). ■

2. ESTIMATES OF χ_0.

From this point on we will work with groups G of the form $G = H \times K$, where H is a non-amenable group and K is an ∞ amenable group.

2.1. The model action. Let (X,μ) be a standard probability space and let $\pi : H \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Pi_{h\in H}(X,\mu)_h)$ be the left Bernoulli shift action given by $\pi_h((x_{h'})_{h'}) = (x_{h^{-1}h'})_{h'}, \forall h \in H, \forall x = (x_{h'})_{h'} \in \Pi_h(X,\mu)_h$. Similarly, we let $\rho : K \to \mathrm{Aut}(\prod_{k\in K}(X,\mu)_k)$ be the left Bernoulli shift action of K.

Next, we define $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}([\prod_{h\in H}(X,\mu)_h \times \prod_{k\in K}(X,\mu)_k])$ to be the product action given by $\sigma_{(h,k)} = \pi_h \times \rho_k, \forall h \in H, k \in K$. Note that σ can also be viewed as the *generalized Bernoulli action* ([Po3]) associated to the action of G on $H \sqcup K$ given by $(h,k) \bullet x = hx, \forall x \in H$ and by $(h,k) \bullet x = kx, \forall x \in K$, $\forall g = (h,k) \in G = H \times K$. Also, we denote $A = L^\infty(\prod_{h\in H}(X,\mu)_h)$, $B = L^\infty(\prod_{k\in K}(X,\mu)_k)$, $C = A \overline{\otimes} B = L^\infty(\prod_{g\in H\sqcup K}(X,\mu)_g)$ and $A_0 = L^\infty((X,\mu)_e) \subset A$.

2.2. Quotient actions. The actions of G that we construct further arise as quotients of the **model action** σ. Let Γ be a group and take $\alpha : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $\beta : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$

two free, m.p. actions of Γ which commute with π and ρ, respectively. Let δ denote the diagonal product action of Γ on $C = A\overline{\otimes}B$ defined by $\delta(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma) \otimes \beta(\gamma)$. If we set $C^\Gamma = \{x \in C \mid \delta(\gamma)(x) = x, \forall\gamma \in \Gamma\}$ then, as δ and σ commute, G acts on C^Γ and this action, denoted σ^Γ, is ergodic.

Assumption. Throughout this section we will assume that there is no $h \in H \setminus \{e\}$ such that $\pi(h)(a) = a, \forall a \in A^\Gamma$. Note that this is indeed the case if $A_0^\Gamma \neq \mathbb{C}1$.

Recall that the left Bernoulli shift action π of a non-amenable group H was shown to be strongly ergodic ([Sc2]), or equivalently, to satisfy $(A \rtimes_\pi H)' \cap A^\omega = \mathbb{C}1$, where ω is a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$([Po]). In what follows, we will need a more general statement:

2.3. Lemma. *With the above notations we have that there exists $S \subset \mathcal{U}(A^\Gamma \rtimes_{\pi^\Gamma} H)$ finite and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\max_{u\in S} ||[u,\xi]||_2 \geq C||\xi- <\xi,1> 1||_2, \forall\xi \in L^2(A \rtimes_\pi H).$$

In particular, $A \rtimes_\pi H$ is a non Γ type II_1 factor.

Proof. We begin by considering the representation of H on $\mathcal{K} = L^2(A \rtimes_\pi H) \ominus l^2(H)$ given by $H \ni h \to \mathrm{Ad}(u_h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$. If $\{\xi_0 = 1, \xi_1, .., \xi_n..\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(A_0)$, then

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(\otimes_{h\in H}\xi_{i_h})u_{h'} | i_h \geq 0, \forall h \in H, 1 \leq |\{h|i_h \neq 0\}| < \infty, h' \in H\}$$

gives an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{K}$. Since H acts on $\mathcal{B}$ and the stabilizers $H_\xi = \{h \in H|\mathrm{Ad}(u_h)(\xi) = \xi\}$ are finite subgroups of H, $\forall\xi \in \mathcal{B}$, we get that the representation of H on $\mathcal{K}$ is of the form $\bigoplus_i l^2(H/H_i)$ for some finite subgroups H_i of H. Since H is non-amenable, any representation of H of the form $\bigoplus_i l^2(H/H_i)$, where H_i are amenable subgroups of H, does not weakly contain the trivial representation ([Po]). Thus, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_1, h_2 \dots h_k \in H$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\max_{i=\overline{1,k}} \|u_{h_i} x u_{h_i}^* - x\|_2 \geq c\|x\|_2, \forall x \in \mathcal{K},$$

therefore,

$$(*) \max_{i=\overline{1,k}} \|[u_{h_i}, \xi]\|_2 \geq c\|\xi - E_{L(H)}(\xi)\|_2, \forall\xi \in L^2(A \rtimes_\pi H).$$

Next, if $a \in \mathcal{U}(A^\Gamma) \setminus \mathbb{C}1$, then using the fact that Bernoulli shifts are mixing, we get that the set $F_a = \{h \in H|\pi_h(a) = a\}$ is finite and that $C_a = \inf_{h\in H\setminus F_a} ||a - \pi_h(a)||_2 > 0$. If $x \in l^2(H)$, then $x = \Sigma_{h\in H}\tau(xu_{h^{-1}})u_h$ and we have that

$$||[a, x]||_2^2 = ||\Sigma_{h\in H}\tau(xu_{h^{-1}})(a - \sigma_h(a))u_h||_2^2 =$$

$$\Sigma_{h\in H}|\tau(xu_{h^{-1}})|^2||a-\sigma_h(a)||_2^2 \geq C_a\Sigma_{h\in H\setminus F_a}|\tau(xu_{h^{-1}})|^2.$$

Now, by our assumption, $\cap_{a\in\mathcal{U}(A^\Gamma)}F_a = \{h\in H|\sigma_h(a)=a, \forall a\in\mathcal{U}(A^\Gamma)\} = \{e\}$, thus $\exists a_1, a_2, .., a_m \in \mathcal{U}(A^\Gamma)$ such that $\cap_{j=1}^m F_{a_i} = \{e\}$. By using the last inequality for $a \in \{a_1, a_2, .., a_m\}$ we get that

$$(**) \max_{j=\overline{1,n}} ||[a_j, x]||_2 \geq (\min_{j=\overline{1,m}} C_{a_j}/m)||x-\tau(x)||_2, \forall x \in l^2(H).$$

Combining $(*)$ and $(**)$, we get the conclusion for $S = \{u_{h_1}, u_{h_2}, .., u_{h_n}, a_1, a_2, .., a_m\}$. ■

2.4. Remark. A consequence of the above proof is that $L(H)'\cap L^2(A\rtimes_\pi H)\subset l^2(H)$. We remark that for this to be true we only need that π is weakly mixing (rather than the Bernoulli shift of a non-amenable group). To see this, let $x=\Sigma_{h\in H}a_hu_h\in L(H)'\cap L^2(A\rtimes_\pi H)$, then $\pi_l(a_h)=a_{lhl^{-1}}, \forall h,l\in H$. In particular, this implies that $||a_h||_2=||a_{lhl^{-1}}||_2, \forall h,l\in H$. For $h\in H\setminus\{e\}$ if the set $F=\{lhl^{-1}|l\in H\}$ is infinite then since $\Sigma_{h\in H}||a_h||_2^2=||x||_2^2<\infty$, we must have that $a_h=0$. On the other hand, if F is finite then $\mathcal{K}=\text{span}\{a_h|h\in F\}\subset A$ is a finite dimensional vector space invaried under π. Since π is weakly mixing, $K=\mathbb{C}1$, thus $a_h\in\mathbb{C}1$.

The following result is well known, but we include a proof for the reader's convenience.

2.5. Lemma. *Let M and N be two II_1 factors and let P be a subfactor of M. If there exists $S\subset\mathcal{U}(P)$ finite and $C>0$ such that*

$$\max_{u\in S}||[u,\xi]||_2 \geq C||\xi-<\xi,1>1||_2, \forall\xi\in L^2M,$$

then $P'\cap(M\overline{\otimes}N)^\omega = N^\omega$.

Proof. Let $\{\eta_0=1,\eta_1,..,\eta_m...\}\subset L^2(N)$ be an orthonormal basis. Then any $x\in L^2(M\overline{\otimes}N)$ can be written as $x=\Sigma_{j\geq 0}\xi_j\otimes\eta_j$, for some $\xi_j\in L^2(M)$ with $\Sigma_{j\geq 0}||\xi_j||_2^2 = ||x||_2^2$. Using the hypothesis we have that

$$\Sigma_{u\in S}||[u,x]||_2^2 = \Sigma_{u\in S}||\Sigma_{j\geq 0}[u,\xi_j]\otimes\eta_j||_2^2 =$$

$$\Sigma_{j\geq 0}\Sigma_{u\in S}||[u,\xi_j]||_2^2 \geq C^2\Sigma_{j\geq 0}||\xi_j-\tau(\xi_j)||_2^2 = C^2||x-P_{L^2(N)}(x)||_2^2,$$

thus proving the conclusion. ■

2.6. Corollary. *With the notations we made before, we have that*

$$(C^\Gamma\rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma}G)'\cap(C^\Gamma\rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma}G)^\omega\subset(B^\Gamma\rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma}K)^\omega.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3. we have that the inclusion $P = A^\Gamma \rtimes_{\pi^\Gamma} H \subset M = A \rtimes_\pi H$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5., thus

$$(A^\Gamma \rtimes_{\pi^\Gamma} H)' \cap [(A \rtimes_\pi H)\overline{\otimes}(B \rtimes_\rho K)]^\omega = (B \rtimes_\rho K)^\omega.$$

Since $A^\Gamma \rtimes_{\pi^\Gamma} H \subset C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G$ and $C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G \subset [(A \rtimes_\pi H)\overline{\otimes}(B \rtimes_\rho K)]$, the corollary follows. ■

From now on we aim to calculate $\chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$; to this end, we give succesive estimates of χ_0, which we improve as the class of groups Γ and actions α, β that we consider, becomes more restrictive. We start by showing that the characters of Γ which have eigenvectors in both $\mathcal{U}(A)$ and $\mathcal{U}(B)$ naturally give rise to (non-trivial) elements of $\chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$.

For an action δ of Γ on C and for a character $\eta \in \mathrm{Char}(\Gamma)$ we denote $\mathcal{U}_\eta = \{a \in \mathcal{U}(C) \mid \delta(\gamma)(a) = \eta(\gamma)a, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and we let $\mathrm{Char}_\delta(\Gamma) = \{\eta \in \mathrm{Char}(\Gamma) | \mathcal{U}_\eta \neq \emptyset\}$([Po]). Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Char}_\delta(\Gamma)$, then for $a \in \mathcal{U}_\eta$ the 1-cocycle $w_g = a^*\sigma(a)$ is C^Γ-valued, thus $\theta = Ad(a)_{|C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G} \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G; C^\Gamma)$. Since the class of θ in $\mathrm{Out}_0(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G; C^\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of $a \in \mathcal{U}_\eta$, we get a well defined map $\phi : \mathrm{Char}_\delta(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$ given by $\phi(\eta) = \varepsilon_0(\theta)$.

2.7. Proposition. *For any $\eta \in Char_\alpha(\Gamma) \cap Char_\beta(\Gamma)$ we have that $\phi(\eta) \in \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$. Moreover, the map $\phi : Char_\alpha(\Gamma) \cap Char_\beta(\Gamma) \to \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$ is an injective group homomorphism.*

Proof. For the first part take $a_1 \in \mathcal{U}(A) \cap \mathcal{U}_\eta$ and $a_2 \in \mathcal{U}(B) \cap U_\eta$. Since H is non-amenable, Corollary 2.3. implies that the central sequences of $C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G$ asymptotically lie in $B^\Gamma \rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma} K$. Thus, as a_1 commutes with $B \rtimes_\rho K$, it results that $Ad(a_1)_{|C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G} \in \mathrm{Ct}(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G)$. Also, since K is amenable we have that $\overline{\mathrm{Int}_0}(B^\Gamma \rtimes_\rho K; B^\Gamma)= \mathrm{Aut}_0(B^\Gamma \rtimes_\rho K; B^\Gamma)$([OW]) , thus we deduce that $Ad(a_2)_{|C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G} \in \overline{\mathrm{Int}_0}(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G; C^\Gamma)$. Combining the two conclusions we get the first assertion.

Finally, if we assume that ϕ is not injective then we get a non-trivial character of Γ, say η, and $a \in \mathcal{U}_\eta$ which satisfies $Ad(a)_{|C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G} \in \mathrm{Int}_0(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G; C^\Gamma)$. This implies that $\exists b \in \mathcal{U}(C^\Gamma)$ such that $a^*\sigma(g)(a) = b^*\sigma(g)(b), \forall g \in G$, but since σ is ergodic we obtain that $a \in \mathcal{U}(C^\Gamma)$, which leads to a contradiction, as η is assumed non-trivial. ■

Next, we reduce the calculation of $\chi_0(\sigma; G)$ to the problem of finding the automorphisms of the hyperfinite II_1 factor R, which act trivially on certain central sequences of R.

2.8. Remark. To this aim, take $\theta \in \overline{\mathrm{Int}_0}(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$, then $\theta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Ad}(u_n)$ for some $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(C^\Gamma)$. Since by Corollary 2.3., $(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G)' \cap (C^\Gamma)^\omega \subset (B^\Gamma)^\omega$, we deduce that there exist $a \in \mathcal{U}(C^\Gamma)$ and $a_n \in \mathcal{U}(B^\Gamma)$ such that $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(a) \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Ad}(a_n)$([Co1],[Jo]). Thus, modulo $\mathrm{Int}_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$, we can assume that $\theta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Ad}(a_n)$, for some $a_n \in \mathcal{U}(B^\Gamma)$, so in particular θ gives an automorphism of $B^\Gamma \rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma} K$.

Moreover, since θ is given by a B^Γ-valued 1-cocycle, hence B-valued, it extends to an automorphism, denoted $\tilde{\theta}$, of $R = B \rtimes_\rho K$. Since $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(\rho; K)$, we get a group morphism $\psi : \overline{\mathrm{Int}_0}(\sigma^\Gamma; G)/\mathrm{Int}_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G) \to \mathrm{Aut}_0(\rho; K)/\mathrm{Int}_0(\rho; K)$ given by $\psi(\theta) = \tilde{\theta}$.

Now, remark that since $R^\Gamma = B^\Gamma \rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma} K \subset C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G$ and since $[R, A \rtimes_\pi H] = 0$, we have the inclusion $R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega \subset (C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G)' \cap (C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G)^\omega$. Thus, if we further impose that $\theta \in \mathrm{Ct}(C^\Gamma \rtimes_{\sigma^\Gamma} G)$, then $\tilde{\theta}$ acts trivially on $R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega$, i.e. $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma)$.

We summarize the above discussion into the following:

2.9. Proposition. *Assume that $Char_\beta(\Gamma) \subset Char_\alpha(\Gamma)$. Then the following is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to Char_\beta(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\phi} \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G) \xrightarrow{\psi} (Aut_0(\rho; K) \cap Ct(R, R^\Gamma))/Int_0(\rho; K)$$

Proof. To show that $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \subset \mathrm{Ran}(\phi)$, let $\theta \in \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$ such that $\tilde{\theta} = \mathrm{Ad}(a)$, for some $a \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. But then, since $\theta(u_g) = a\sigma_g(a^*) \in C^\Gamma, \forall g \in G$, we obtain that $\delta(\gamma)(a\sigma_g(a^*)) = a\sigma_g(a^*), \forall g \in G, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$. Using this relation and the fact that σ and δ commute, we obtain that

$$\sigma_g(a^*\delta(\gamma)(a)) = a^*\delta(\gamma)(a), \forall g \in G, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

and since σ is ergodic, we conclude that $a^*\delta(\gamma(a)) \in \mathbb{C}1, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, $\exists \eta \in \mathrm{Char}_\beta(\Gamma)$ such that $\delta(\gamma)(a) = \eta(\gamma)a, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, therefore $\theta \in \mathrm{Ran}(\phi)$. The other inclusion follows easily, since $\mathrm{Ad}(a) \in \mathrm{Ct}(R), \forall a \in \mathcal{U}(B)$. ■

We end this section by giving the motivation for the technical result that we prove in the next section. In view of Proposition 2.9., to compute $\chi_0(\sigma; G)$ it is natural to consider the following question: given a properly outer action δ of a group Γ on the hyperfinite II$_1$ factor R, describe $\mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(R) | \theta_{|R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega} = id\}$.

If Γ is finite, then $\mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma) = \Gamma\mathrm{Int}(R)$. Indeed, if we denote $R_\omega = R' \cap R^\omega$, then R_ω is II$_1$ factor on which Γ and θ act properly ([Co1],[Jo]). Since Γ is finite we have that $(R^\Gamma)^\omega = (R^\omega)^\Gamma$(remark that this equality is equivalent to the fact that the representation of Γ on $L^2(R) \ominus L^2(R^\Gamma)$ does not weakly contain the trivial representation, true if Γ is a property (T) group), thus

$$R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega = R' \cap (R^\omega)^\Gamma = (R' \cap R^\omega)^\Gamma = R_\omega^\Gamma,$$

hence $\theta_{|R_\omega^\Gamma} = id_{|R_\omega^\Gamma}$.

Recall now that if a finite group Γ acts properly on a II$_1$ factor M and $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ is an automorphism which acts identically on M^Γ, then $\theta \in \Gamma$(note that the last result also holds true if Γ is a compact abelian group and $\mathrm{Char}_\delta(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Char}(\Gamma)$). Applying this to our situation, we can find $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\theta_{|R_\omega} = \gamma_{|R_\omega}$, thus $\gamma^{-1} \circ \theta \in \mathrm{Ct}(R) = \mathrm{Int}(R)$.

As the other inclusion, $\Gamma\mathrm{Int}(R) \subset \mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma)$, is always true, we get the desired equality for Γ finite. Note that this equality already implies that $\chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G) = \mathrm{Char}(\Gamma)$ if Γ is finite.

Turning to the case Γ infinite, the above proof seems to fail as one would need the group Γ to be both compact and rigid. However, in the next section we show that $\mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma) = \Gamma\mathrm{Int}(R)$, given that the action $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ is the "inductive limit" of actions of the form $\Gamma_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(R_n)$, where Γ_n are finite quotients of Γ and $R_n \subset R$ are subfactors exhausting R.

3.Main technical result.

3.1. Theorem. *Let* $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \Delta_i$*, where* $(\Delta_i)_{i\geq 0}$ *are finite groups and let* $\alpha : \Gamma \to Aut(R)$ *be an action on the hyperfinite* II_1 *factor. Denote* $\Gamma^n = \bigoplus_{i>n} \Delta_i$ *and define* $R^n = R^{\Gamma^n}, \forall n \geq 0$.

(i) If $\cup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ *is weakly dense in* R *, then* α *can be extended to a continuous action* $\tilde{\alpha} : \tilde{\Gamma} = \prod_{i\geq 0} \Delta_i \to Aut(R)$.

(ii) Moreover, if $(R^\Gamma)' \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$ *and if* $\{\gamma \in \Gamma | \gamma_{|R_n} = id_{|R_n}\} = \Gamma^n, \forall n \geq 0$*, then* $Ct(R, R^\Gamma) = \tilde{\Gamma} Int(R)$.

Proof. (i) After identifying Γ with $\alpha(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(R)$, we need to prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ embedds into $\mathrm{Aut}(R)$ in a continuous manner. Let $\gamma = (\delta_1, ..., \delta_n, ..) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and set $\gamma_n = (\delta_1, .., \delta_n, 0, .., 0, ..) \in \Gamma$. We claim that $\exists \gamma := \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(R)$, or equivalently that $(\gamma_n(x))_n$ is a Cauchy sequence $\forall x \in R$. If $x \in \cup_{m\geq 0} R_m$, then the sequence $(\gamma_n(x))_n$ eventually becomes constant, hence is Cauchy and as $\cup_{m\geq 0} R_m \subset R$ is a total set, the claim is proven.

To prove the continuity of the embedding, let $\tilde{\Gamma} \ni \gamma_n = (\delta_i^n)_{i\geq 0} \to \gamma = (\delta_i)_{i\geq 0} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies that $\forall i \geq 0, \exists N(i) \geq 0$ such that $\delta_i^n = \delta_i, \forall n \geq N(i)$. In turn, from this we deduce that if $x \in \cup_{m\geq 0} R_m$, then $\gamma_n(x) = \gamma(x), \forall n \geq N(x)$, thus γ_n converges to γ in the topology from $\mathrm{Aut}(R)$.

(ii) For the second assertion, let $\theta \in \mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma)$, i.e. $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\omega} ||\theta(x_n) - x_n||_2 = 0, \forall (x_n)_n \in R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega$. Also, $\forall n \geq 0$, we denote $\Gamma_n = \bigoplus_{i\leq n} \Delta_i$.

Step 1. $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have that $||\theta(x) - x||_2 \leq 1/2, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)$, where $P_n := R_n' \cap (R_n^{\Gamma_n})^\omega = (R_n' \cap R_n^\omega)^{\Gamma_n}$.

This follows from the following:

3.2. Lemma. *Let* M *be a* II_1 *factor and let* $N, \{M_n\}_{n\geq 0} \subset M$ *be von Neumann subalgebras such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x - E_{M_n}(x)||_2 = 0, \forall x \in M$*. If* $\theta \in Aut(M)$ *acts trivially on* $M' \cap N^\omega$*, then* $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $||\theta(u) - u||_2 \leq \varepsilon, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(M_n' \cap N^\omega), \forall n \geq N(\varepsilon)$.

Proof of lemma 3.2. If the conclusion fails, then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, an increasing subsequence $\{k_n\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ and $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(M_{k_n}' \cap N^\omega)$ such that $||\theta(u_n) - u_n||_2 > \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since θ acts trivially on $M' \cap N^\omega$, there exists $F \subset M$ finite and $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in (N)_1$ satisfies $||[x,y]||_2 \leq \delta, \forall y \in F$, then $||\theta(x) - x||_2 \leq \varepsilon/2$.

Representing u_n as $(u_n^m)_m$, where $u_n^m \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ and using the first inequality, we deduce that $\exists m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_n = u_n^{m_n}$ satisfies $||[v_n, E_{M_{k_n}}(x)]||_2 \leq \delta/2, \forall x \in F$ and $||\theta(v_n) - v_n|| > \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||E_{M_{k_n}}(x) - x||_2 = 0, \forall x \in F$ and since $||[v_n, x]]||_2 \leq ||[v_n, E_{M_{k_n}}(x)]||_2 + 2||E_{M_{k_n}}(x) - x||_2 \leq \delta/2 + 2||E_{M_{k_n}}(x) - x||_2$, we get a contradiction. ∎

Going back to the proof of Theorem 3.1., we can apply Lemma 3.2. to find $N = N(1/2)$ such that

$$||\theta(x) - x||_2 \leq 1/2, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(R_n' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega), \forall n \geq N.$$

Since by the definition of R_n we have that $R_n^\Gamma = R_n^{\Gamma_n}$, we deduce that $P_n \subset R_n' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega$, thus proving this step.

Next, by standard averaging techniques, we get :

Step 2. $\forall n \geq N, \exists w_n \in R^\omega, w_n \neq 0$ such that $\theta(x) w_n = w_n x, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)$.

Indeed, from the inequality $||\theta(x) - x||_2 \leq 1/2, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)$, we deduce that the minimal $||.||_2$ element of the convex set $\mathcal{K}_n = \overline{co}^w\{\theta(x)x^* | x \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)\} \subset (R^\omega)_1$ satisfies $||w_n - 1||_2 \leq 1/2$, thus $w_n \neq 0$. Moreover, by the uniqueness of w_n and by the fact that $\mathcal{K}_n$ is invaried under the transformations $u \to \theta(x)ux^*, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(P_n)$, it follows that w_n satisfies the required identity.

Step 3.

3.3. Lemma. *Let Γ be a finite group acting on the inclusion $N \subset M$ of two finite von Neumann algebras such that $N' \cap (M \rtimes \Gamma) \subset M$. If $\theta \in Aut(M)$ satisfies $\theta(x)w = wx, \forall x \in N^\Gamma$, for some non-zero element $w \in M$, then $\exists v \in M, v \neq 0$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\theta(x)v = v\gamma(x), \forall x \in N$.*

Proof of lemma 3.3. If $x \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, then $\Sigma_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma(x) \in N^\Gamma$, thus

$$\Sigma_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\theta(\gamma(x))w = w\Sigma_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(N).$$

Using this relation we get that

$$\Sigma_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\theta(\gamma(x))wx^* = w\Sigma_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma(x)x^*, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(N).$$

Let $\tilde{M} := \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(M)_\gamma$ and define the following two uniformly bounded convex subsets of $\tilde{M}$:

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \overline{co}^w\{\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\theta(\gamma(x))wx^*) | x \in \mathcal{U}(N)\},$$

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \overline{co}^w\{\oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\gamma(x)x^*) | x \in \mathcal{U}(N)\}.$$

Then the above relation translates into:

$$\Sigma_\gamma x^1_\gamma = \Sigma_\gamma w x^2_\gamma, \forall x^i = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} x^i_\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_i, i = 1, 2.$$

Let $x^i = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} x^i_\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_i, i = 1, 2$ be the elements of minimal $||.||_2$. Then note that $\forall u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ are invaried under the transformations $\oplus_\gamma x^1_\gamma \to \oplus_\gamma \theta(\gamma(u)) x^1_\gamma u^*$ and $\oplus_\gamma x^2_\gamma \to \oplus_\gamma \gamma(u) x^2_\gamma u^*$, respectively.

Thus, since these transformations are norm preserving, it follows by the uniqueness of x_1 and x_2 that $\theta(\gamma(u))x^1_\gamma u^* = x^1_\gamma$ and $\gamma(u)x^2_\gamma u^* = x^2_\gamma, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$. Now, note that the condition $N' \cap (M \rtimes \Gamma) \subset M$ is equivalent to the following: if $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ and $w \in M$, then

$$w\gamma(x) = xw, \forall x \in N \Rightarrow w = 0.$$

Thus $x^2_\gamma = 0, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ and since $x^2_e = 1$ and $\Sigma_\gamma x^1_\gamma = \Sigma_\gamma w x^2_\gamma$, it follows that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x^1_\gamma \neq 0$. ■

Step 4. $\forall n \geq N, \exists v_n \in R^\omega, v_n \neq 0$ and $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_n$ such that $\theta(x)v_n = v_n\gamma_n(x), \forall x \in S_n := R'_n \cap R^\omega_n$.

Note first that $P_n = R'_n \cap (R^{\Gamma_n}_n)^\omega = S^{\Gamma_n}_n$ and that Γ_n acts on the inclusion $S_n \subset R^\omega$. Recall that by *Step 2* we have that $\theta(x)w_n = w_n x, \forall x \in S^{\Gamma_n}_n$. Thus, provided that we can show that there is no non-zero $w \in R^\omega$ such that $\gamma(x)w = wx, \forall x \in S_n = R'_n \cap R^\omega_n$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_n \setminus \{e\}$, the conclusion of Lemma 3.3. gives our claim.

To disprove the last equality, we show the following lemma, which we will also use subsequently.

3.4. Lemma. *Let M be a II_1 factor and let R be an irreducible hyperfinite subfactor. If $\alpha \in Aut(M)$ is an automorphism such that $\exists w \in M^\omega, w \neq 0, \alpha(x)w = wx, \forall x \in R' \cap R^\omega$, then there exists $v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\alpha(x) = vxv^*, \forall x \in R$.*

Proof of lemma 3.4. Since R is hyperfinite, we can decompose $R = \overline{\otimes}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C}))_k$. Denote $R_m = \overline{\otimes}_{k\geq m}(\mathbb{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C}))_k$ and represent $w = (w_n)_n \in M^\omega$. We claim that $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$||\alpha(x)w_m - w_m x||_2 \leq ||w_m||_2, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(R_m).$$

Indeed, because if for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $x_m \in \mathcal{U}(R_m)$ such that $||\alpha(x_m)w_m - w_m x_m||_2 > ||w_m||_2$, then $x = (x_m)_m \in \mathcal{U}(R' \cap R^\omega)$ and $||\alpha(x)w - wx||_2 = \lim_{n\to\omega} ||\alpha(x_m)w_m - w_m x_m||_2 \geq \lim_{n\to\omega} ||w_m||_2 = ||w||_2$, a contradiction.

Next, a simple computation shows that

$$\mathrm{Re}\tau(w^*_m \alpha(x) w_m x^*) \geq ||w_m||_2/2, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(R_m).$$

Thus, if v denotes the element of minimal $||.||_2$ in $C = \overline{co}^w\{\alpha(x)w_m x^* | x \in \mathcal{U}(R_m)\}$, then $\mathrm{Re}\tau(w^*_m v) \geq ||w_m||_2/2$, hence $v \neq 0$ and $\alpha(x)v = vx, \forall x \in \mathcal{U}(R_m)$.

Since $R' \cap M = \mathbb{C}1$, we get that $R'_m \cap M = \overline{\otimes}_{k<m}(\mathbb{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C}))_k$ (this is true since if $A \subset B$ are C^*-algebras, then ${A^{(n)}}' \cap \mathbb{M}_n(B) = \mathbb{M}_n(A' \cap B)$). Also, because $v^*v \in R'_m \cap M, vv^* \in \alpha(R_m)' \cap M$, we deduce that there exists $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(R'_m \cap M) \subset \mathcal{P}(R)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\alpha(x \otimes p) = u(x \otimes q)u^*, \forall x \in R_m$. Finally, since p, q have central supports equal to 1 in $\overline{\otimes}_{k<m}(\mathbb{M}_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C}))_k$, we conclude that $\exists v \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that $\alpha(x)v = vx, \forall x \in R$. ■

Assume by contradiction that $\exists w \in R^\omega$ such that $\gamma(x)w = wx, \forall x \in S_n = R'_n \cap R^\omega_n$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_n \setminus \{e\}$. Since $R^\Gamma \subset R_n$ and $(R^\Gamma)' \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$, we get that $R'_n \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$, thus Lemma 3.4. implies that $\exists v \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, $\gamma(x) = vxv^*, \forall x \in R_n$.

Using again the inclusion $R^\Gamma \subset R_n$, we infer that $v \in R^{\Gamma'} \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$, thus, $\gamma(x) = x, \forall x \in R_n$. Now, the hypothesis entails that $\gamma \in \Gamma^n$, therefore $\gamma \in \Gamma^n \cap \Gamma_n = \{e\}$, contradiction.

Step 5. $\forall n \geq N, \exists u_n \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_n$ such that $\theta(x)u_n = u_n\gamma_n(x), \forall x \in R_n$.

This step follows directly from *Step 4* and Lemma 3.4. by using the fact that R_n has trivial relative commutant in $R, \forall n \geq 0$.

Step 6. $\theta \in \tilde{\Gamma}\mathrm{Int}(R)$.

Following *Step 5*, $\forall n \geq N$, we can find $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(R), \gamma_n \in \Gamma_n$ such that $\theta(x) = u_n\gamma_n(x)u_n^*, \forall x \in R_n$. Write $\gamma_{n+1} = \gamma'_n\delta_{n+1}$, where $\gamma'_n \in \Gamma_n, \delta_{n+1} \in \Delta_{n+1}, \forall n \geq N$ and let $\gamma_N = (\delta_0, \delta_1, .., \delta_N, 0, .., 0..) \in \Gamma_N$. Using the fact that

$$u_n\gamma_n(x)u_n^* = \theta(x) = u_{n+1}\gamma_{n+1}(x)u_{n+1}^*, \forall x \in R_n$$

and that δ_{n+1} acts identically on R_n, we get that

$$\gamma_n \circ {\gamma'_n}^{-1}(x) = (u_n^*u_{n+1})x(u_{n+1}^*u_n), \forall x \in R_n.$$

Since $\gamma_n \circ {\gamma'_n}^{-1} \in \Gamma_n$, by reasoning as in the proof of *Step 4* we deduce that $\gamma_n = \gamma'_{n+1}$ and that $u_n^*u_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}1$. Consequently, if we let $\gamma = (\delta_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $u = u_N$, then $\theta(x) = u\gamma(x)u^*, \forall x \in \cup_{n\geq N}R_n$, thus finishing the proof. ■

4.Calculation of $\chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$.

In this section we use the results of the previous two sections to get concrete computations of χ_0. As before, let H be a non-amenable group and K be an ∞ amenable group. Also, we fix (X_0, μ_0), a standard probability space and we define

$$(X, \mu) = \prod_{i\geq 0}(X_0, \mu_0)_i.$$

Then, as in Section 2, we let $A = L^\infty(\prod_{h\in H}(X, \mu)_h)$, $B = L^\infty(\prod_{k\in K}(X, \mu)_k), C = A\overline{\otimes}B = L^\infty(\prod_{g\in H\sqcup K}(X, \mu)_g)$ and we denote by π, ρ the left Bernoulli shift actions of H, K on A, B, respectively and by σ the product action of $G = H \times K$ on C.

Let $\{\Delta_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ be non-trivial finite groups and denote $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\Delta_i, \Gamma^n = \bigoplus_{i>n}\Delta_i, \Gamma_n = \bigoplus_{i\leq n}\Delta_i, \forall n \geq 0$. For $i \geq 0$, let $\alpha_i : \Delta_i \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_0, \mu_0)$ be a free action. Then, we define $\alpha : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(\prod_{h\in H}(X,\mu)_h = \prod_{i\geq 0, h\in H}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,h})$ to be given by

$$\alpha(\gamma)((x_{i,h})_{i,h}) = ((\alpha_i(\delta_i)(x_{i,h}))_{i,h},$$

$\forall x = (x_{i,h})_{i,h} \in \prod_{i\geq 0, h\in H}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,h}, \forall\gamma = (\delta_i)_{i\geq 0} \in \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\Delta_i = \Gamma$. Similarly, we let $\beta : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(\prod_{k\in K}(X,\mu)_k = \prod_{i\geq 0, k\in K}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,k})$. We also denote by α, β the induced actions on A, B.

Now, consider the diagonal product action $\delta : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ given by

$$\delta(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma) \otimes \beta(\gamma), \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Since $[\alpha, \pi] = 0$ and $[\beta, \rho] = 0$, it follows that $[\delta, \sigma] = 0$, thus G acts on $C^\Gamma = \{x \in C | \delta(\gamma)(x) = x, \forall\gamma \in \Gamma\}$, hence we can define $\sigma^\Gamma = \sigma_{|C^\Gamma}$.

4.1. Theorem. *$\sigma^\Gamma : G \to Aut(C^\Gamma)$ is a free, ergodic, integral preserving action and*

$$\phi : Char(\Gamma) \simeq \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$$

Proof. If we let $R = B \rtimes_\rho K$, then since K is amenable and ρ is ergodic, R is the hyperfinite II_1 factor ([OW]). Moreover, since $[\beta, \rho] = 0$, we have that Γ acts on R by $\beta(\gamma)(\Sigma_{k\in K} a_k u_k) = \Sigma_{k\in K}\beta(a_k)u_k$ and that $R^\Gamma = B^\Gamma \rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma} K$.

Claim 1. If $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ acts identically on $R' \cap (R^\Gamma)^\omega$, then $\theta \in \tilde{\Gamma}\mathrm{Int}(R)$.

To prove this it is sufficient to verify the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Note that Γ^n acts trivially on $\prod_{n\geq i\geq 0, k\in K}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,k}$ and also on K, thus implying that

$$L^\infty(\prod_{n\geq i\geq 0, k\in K}(X_0,\mu_0)_{(i,k)}) \rtimes K \subset R_n = R^{\Gamma^n}, \forall n \geq 0.$$

Further, this clearly implies that $\overline{\cup_{n\geq 0} R_n}^w = R$. Next, Lemma 2.1. implies that $(B^\Gamma \rtimes_{\rho^\Gamma} K)' \cap (B \rtimes_\rho K) = \mathbb{C}1$ or, equivalently, that $R^{\Gamma'} \cap R = \mathbb{C}1$. Finally, if $\gamma = (\delta_1, .., \delta_n, 0, ..) \in \Gamma_n$, where $\delta_i \in \Delta_i$, acts trivially on R_n, then in particular, it acts trivially on $\prod_{n\geq i\geq 0, k\in K}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,k}$. This in turn forces $\alpha_i(\delta_i) =$id, hence $\delta_i = e, \forall i = \overline{1,n}$, as α_i are assumed free.

Claim 2. If $g = (h,k) \in G \setminus \{e\}$ then there exists no non-zero $p \in C$ such that $\sigma_g(c)p = cp, \forall c \in A^\Gamma \overline{\otimes} B^\Gamma \subset C^\Gamma$.

To see this, let $a \in L^\infty(\prod_{i\geq 0}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,e})^\Gamma \subset A^\Gamma$ and $b \in L^\infty(\prod_{i\geq 0}(X_0,\mu_0)_{i,e})^\Gamma \subset B^\Gamma$, such that $\tau(a) = \tau(b) = 0$. Thus $\tau(a^*\pi_h(a)) = \tau(b^*\rho_k(b)) = 0, \forall h \in H \setminus \{e\}, k \in K \setminus \{k\}$.

Recall from [Po1] that Bernoulli shift actions are 2-mixing in the following sense:

$$\lim_{h_1,h_2\to\infty} |\tau(x_0\pi_{h_1}(x_1)\pi_{h_2}(x_2)) - \tau(x_0)\tau(\pi_{h_1}(x_1)\pi_{h_2}(x_2))| = 0, \forall x_0, x_1, x_2 \in A.$$

Now, let $h_n \in H, k_n \in K$, both going to ∞, and define $c_n = \pi_{h_n}(a) \otimes \rho_{k_n}(b) \in A^\Gamma \otimes B^\Gamma$. Fix $x \in A, y \in B$ and denote $z = x \otimes y$, then

$$\tau(c_n^*\sigma_g(c_n)z) = \tau(\pi_{h_n}(a)^*\pi_h(\pi_{h_n}(a))x)\tau(\rho_{k_n}(b)^*\rho_k(\rho_{k_n}(b))y).$$

Using the 2-mixingness, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\tau(c_n^*\sigma_g(c_n)z) - \tau(x)\tau(y)\tau(\pi_{h_n}(a)^*\pi_{hh_n}(a))\tau(\rho_{k_n}(b)^*\rho_{kk_n}(b))| = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\tau(\pi_{h_n}(a)^*\pi_{hh_n}(a))\tau(\rho_{k_n}(b)^*\rho_{kk_n}(b)) = \delta_{h_n,hh_n}\delta_{k_n,kk_n}||a||_2^2||b||_2^2 = \delta_{(h,k),(e,e)}||a||_2^2||b||_2^2 = 0,$$

thus $\lim \tau(c_n^*\sigma_g(c_n)z) = 0, \forall z \in A \otimes B$ and, as $A \otimes B$ is $||.||_2$-dense in $C = A\overline{\otimes}B$, the claim follows.

Note that the above claim implies directly that σ^Γ is a free action, while ergodicity follows from that of σ, which in turn is implied by the fact that both π and ρ are weakly mixing.

Claim 3. $\tilde{\Gamma}\mathrm{Int}(R) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_0(R; B) = \mathrm{Int}_0(R; B)$.

Indeed, if $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma} \setminus \{e\}$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ are such that $\theta = \mathrm{Ad}(u) \circ \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Aut}_0(R; B)$, then $\tilde{\gamma}(x) = \mathrm{Ad}(u^*)(x), \forall x \in B$. In particular, this implies that $u \in \mathcal{N}_R(B)$, thus we can find a non-zero projection $p \in B$ and $k \in K \setminus \{e\}$ such that $\rho_k(b)p = Ad(u^*)(b)p = \tilde{\gamma}(b)p, \forall x \in B$, which entails that $\rho_k(b)p = bp, \forall b \in B^\Gamma$.

Denote $g = (e, k) \in H \times K = G$, then we have that $\sigma_g(a \otimes b)(1 \otimes p) = (a \otimes b)(1 \otimes p), \forall a \in A^\Gamma, \forall b \in B^\Gamma$, thus by the second claim, $g = e$, hence $k = e$, a contradiction.

Combining *Claim 1* and *Claim 3*, we get that

$$\mathrm{Ct}(R, R^\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_0(R; B) = \tilde{\Gamma}\mathrm{Int}(R) \cap \mathrm{Aut}_0(R; B) = \mathrm{Int}_0(R; B),$$

thus, by Proposition 2.9., $\phi : \mathrm{Char}_\beta(\Gamma) \to \chi_0(\sigma^\Gamma; G)$ is an isomorphism. Finally, it is clear that $\mathrm{Char}_\beta(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Char}(\Gamma)$, which finishes the proof. ■

4.2. Corollary. *Let $G = H \times K$, where H is a non-amenable group and K is an ∞ amenable group. Then, for any group $\Lambda = \Pi_{i\geq 0}\Lambda_i$, where Λ_i are finite abelian groups, we can find a free, ergodic, measure preserving action σ_Λ of G on a standard probability space such that $\chi_0(\sigma_\Lambda; G) = \Lambda$. Thus, any such group G admits uncountably many non stably orbit equivalent actions.*

4.3. Final remarks. (i). Similarly, it can be shown that a non-amenable group G which is the infinite sum of non-trivial groups has uncountably many non-OE actions (e.g. $G = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\mathbb{F}_n, \forall n \geq 2$).

(ii). A related OE invariant for actions $\sigma : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$ that one can consider is given by $\overline{\mathrm{B}^1}(\sigma, G)/\ \mathrm{B}^1(\sigma, G) \simeq \overline{\mathrm{Int}_0}(M; A)/\ \mathrm{Int}_0(M; A)$. Note that this invariant is a subgroup of the 1-cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\sigma; G)$ which is isomorphic to Kawahigashi's relative χ invariant of the corresponding Cartan subalgebra inclusion, $\chi(M, A)$ ([Ka]). While it seems difficult to calculate such an invariant (as it is an infinite, non-Polish group) we note that if a group $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{B}^1}(\sigma, G)/\ \mathrm{B}^1(\sigma, G)$, for some countable, discrete group G, then we can replace G by any non-amenable group which admits G as a quotient (e.g. $\mathbb{F}_\infty$).

(iii). Note that the results that we prove also work if we consider actions on the hyperfinite II_1 factor R (instead of a diffuse abelian von Neumann algebra), thus rendering the analogous conclusion: any group G as in the above Corollary has uncountably many non-cocycle conjugated actions on R. The only difference is the following: in the proof of Proposition 2.7., we need to use a result of Ocneanu ([Oc]) stating that 1-cocycles for actions of amenable groups on the hyperfinite II_1 factor are approximately 1-coboundaries, instead of the analogous result for actions on a probability space([OW]).

References

[Co1] A. Connes: *Sur la classification des facteurs de type* II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr.I Math. **281** (1975), A13A15.

[Co2] A. Connes: *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. of Math., **104** (1976), 73-115.

[CFW] A. Connes, J. Feldman, B. Weiss: *An amenable equivalence relation is generated a single transformation*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical systems **1** (1981), 431-450.

[CW] A.Connes, B. Weiss: *Property (T) and asymptotically invariant sequences*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 209-210.

[Dy] H.Dye: *On groups of measure preserving transformations,* I, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 119-159.

[FM] J. Feldman, C.C. Moore: *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras* II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), 325-359.

[GaPo] D. Gaboriau, S. Popa: *An Uncountable Family of Non Orbit Equivalent Actions of* $\mathbb{F}_n$ J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 3, 547-559.

- [Hj] G. Hjorth: *A converse to Dye's Theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 3083-3103.
- [Ka] Y. Kawahigashi: *Centrally trivial automorphisms and an analogue of Connes'* $\chi(M)$ *for subfactors*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 93-118.
- [Jo] V.F.R. Jones: *Notes on Connes' invariant* $\chi(M)$, unpublished.
- [MoSh] N. Monod, Y. Shalom: *Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology*, Preprint 2002, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [MvN] F. Murray, J. von Neumann: *Rings of operators IV*, Ann. Math. **44** (1943), 716-808.
- [Oc] A. Ocneanu: *Actions of discrete amenable groups on von Neumann algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1138, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [OW] D.Ornstein, B.Weiss: *Ergodic theory of amenable groups. I. The Rokhlin lemma.*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1980), 161-164.
- [Po] S. Popa: *Some computations of 1-cohomology groups and construction of non orbit equivalent actions*, math. OA/0407199, to appear in Journal de l'Inst. de Math. de Jussieu.
- [Po1] S. Popa: *Strong rigidity of* II_1 *factors arising from malleable actions of w-rigid groups, II*, math. OA/0407103.
- [Po2] S.Popa: *Some rigidity results for non-commutative Bernoulli shifts*, Journal of Functional Analysis **230** (2006), 273-328
- [PoSa] S. Popa,R. Sasyk: *On the cohomology of actions of groups by Bernoulii shifts*, math. OA/ 0310211.
- [Si] I.M. Singer: *Automorphisms of finite factors*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 117-133.
- [Sc1] K. Schmidt: *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of SL(2,*$\mathbb{Z}$*) on the 2-sphere*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 193-208.
- [Sc2] K. Schmidt: *Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions,* Ergodic. Th. Dynam. Sys. **1** (1981), 223-236.

MATH DEPT UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-155505
E-mail address: `adiioana@math.ucla.edu`